REMARKS

Claims 1-20 and 24-33 are currently pending. Claims 1, 8, 15, and 25 have been amended for clarification only. Claims 21-23 have been cancelled. Claims 26-33 have been added to enhance the scope of patent coverage of the claimed invention. The support for these amendments is found on page 6, line 29, through page 7, line 6, of the specification as filed, original claim 15, and Figure 3. It is respectfully submitted that no new matter has been added.

The Patent Office rejected claims 21-23 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Claims 21-23 have been cancelled. It is respectfully requested that the Patent Office withdraw its rejection of claims 21-23 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Claims 1-11, 13-23, and 25 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abdelgany et al. (US 6,584,090), and further in view of Shalom et al. (US 6,166,601) and further in view of Abdelmonem et al. (US 6,622,028). The rejection is respectfully disagreed with, and is traversed below.

Claim 1 recites "A mobile station comprising a transceiver comprising a transmitter circuit having a transmit RF filter that passes a transmit band of frequencies that is partitioned into transmit frequency channels and a receiver circuit having a receiver RF filter that passes a receive band of frequencies that is partitioned into receiver frequency channels; an antenna coupled to an output of said transmitter circuit and to an input of said receiver circuit; and **circuitry for compensating for a non-ideal operation of said RF filters of at least one RF channel of the transmit and receive bands of frequencies when the at least one RF channel is selected, in which the non-ideal operation of said RF filters is not compensated for another RF channel of the transmit and receive bands of frequencies when the another RF channel is selected.**"

Claim 8 recites "A method for operating a mobile station comprising providing the mobile station with a transceiver having a transmitter circuit having a transmit RF filter that passes a transmit band of frequencies that is partitioned into transmit frequency channels and a receiver circuit having a receiver RF filter that passes a receive band of frequencies that is partitioned into receiver frequency channels; coupling an antenna to an output of said transmitter circuit and to an input of said receiver circuit; and **compensating for the non-ideal operation of said RF filters is provided for when at least one RF channel of the transmit and receive bands of frequencies is selected, wherein compensation for the non-ideal operation of said RF filters**

is not provided for at least a portion of a remainder of the transmit and receive band of frequencies when a frequency channel from the portion of the remainder of the transmit and receive band of frequencies is selected."

Claim 15 recites "A circuit comprising means for coupling to a transceiver having a transmitter circuit comprising at least one transmit radio frequency (RF) filter that passes a transmit band of radio frequencies that is partitioned into transmit RF channels and a receiver circuit having at least one receiver RF filter that passes a receive band of radio frequencies that is partitioned into receive RF channels and **means for compensating for at least one of RF filter operation in a transmit RF channel that is nearest to the receive band of RF frequencies when the transmit RF channel is selected and for RF filter operation in a receive RF channel that is nearest to the transmit band of RF frequencies when the receive RF channel is selected, wherein RF filter operations of at least a portion of other channels of the transmit RF channels and receive RF channels are not compensated by the circuit when the other channels are selected.**"

Claim 25 recites "A mobile station comprising a transceiver comprising a transmitter circuit having a transmit RF filter that passes a transmit band of frequencies that is partitioned into transmit frequency channels and a receiver circuit having a receiver RF filter that passes a receive band of frequencies that is partitioned into receiver frequency channels, wherein the transmit frequency channels comprise a first transmit frequency channel and a second transmit frequency channel, wherein the receiver frequency channels comprise a first receiver frequency channel and a second receiver frequency channel; an antenna coupled to an output of said transmitter circuit and to an input of said receiver circuit; and **compensating circuitry, wherein a non-ideal RF filter operation is compensated for the first transmit frequency channel by the compensating circuitry by predistorting a signal to be transmitted when the first transmit frequency channel is selected and the non-ideal RF filter operation is not compensated for the second transmit frequency channel by the compensating circuitry when the second transmit frequency channel is selected, wherein the non-ideal RF filter operation is compensated for the first receiver frequency channel by the compensating circuitry when the first receiver frequency channel is selected and the non-ideal RF filter operation is not compensated for the second receiver frequency channel by the compensating circuitry**

when the second receiver frequency channel is selected."

Claim 29 recites "A circuit comprising a circuit portion for coupling to a transceiver having a transmitter circuit comprising at least one transmit radio frequency (RF) filter that passes a transmit band of radio frequencies that is partitioned into transmit RF channels and a receiver circuit having at least one receiver RF filter that passes a receive band of radio frequencies that is partitioned into receive RF channels and **a circuit portion for compensating for at least one of RF filter operation of at least one RF channel of a transmit RF channel and a receive RF channel when the at least one RF channel is selected, wherein RF filter operations of other channels of the transmit RF channels and receive RF channels are not compensated by the circuit when the other channels are selected.**"

Abdelgany et al. disclose a circuit arrangement for CDMA/GSM operation. Applicant agrees with the Patent Office that Abdelgany et al. do not disclose circuitry to compensate for the non-linearity of both transmit and/or receive filters. More specifically, and as is recited in for example claim1, this reference does not disclose a circuit arrangement wherein there is circuitry for compensating for a non-ideal operation of RF filters for one selected channel but not another selected channel. Abdelgany et al describes an RF transceiver where both transmitter and receiver chains contain an RF filter. However, it does not do any kind of compensation to the signals, which is why Abdelgany et al. can merely be considered as a distant prior art describing feature that can be found from practically any RF transceiver.

The Patent Office then cites Shalom et al. for disclosing a transceiver that applies digital equalization to an RF amplifier to produce highly linear amplification, and refers to col. 3, lines 29-65. The Patent Office continues by stating that it would have been obvious "to implement digital equalization for both the transmit and receive amplifiers for the advantage of producing a highly linear response from the amplifiers".

It is first noted that Shalom et al. are concerned only with the transmit outputs of the multiple transceivers 22, 24, 26 shown in Figs. 1, 2 and 3, which are combined and applied to a single (common) base station transmitter 98. The total bandwidth of these signals is about 25 MHz (see col. 7, lines 17-31). As is stated in col. 3, lines 29-65, the goal is to digitally equalize the transceiver signals to correct for gain and phase distortions introduced by the power amplifier, as well as other elements of the feedforward amplifier. Shalom et al. describes a feedforward

amplifier, where RF signals from a plurality of sources are down converted, digitally equalized to compensate the amplifier impacts, upconverted and fed to the amplifier for amplification. It does NOT seem to compensate for filter induced distortion as responsive to RF channels, neither does it give any hints this would be needed. This invention only concentrates on how RF amplifier induced distortion can be minimized. Furthermore, this invention aims to minimize the interference to adjacent RF channels, whereas the present invention focuses in maximizing the signal-to-interference ratio of own signal. Shalom et al. does not discuss the receiver at all so it is not obvious to use compensation circuits to reception branch according to this invention. Shalom et al. only focuses in compensating amplifier response, not compensating RF filter non-idealities.

Based at least on the stated purposes of the Shalom et al. circuitry, i.e., to digitally equalize transceiver signals to correct for gain and phase distortions introduced by a transmitter power amplifier, as well as other elements of the feedforward amplifier, it is submitted that one skilled in the art would not find it obvious to implement digital equalization for **both** transmit and receive amplifiers. This is true at least for the reason that Shalom et al. are not seen to discuss in any detail the characteristics of the receiver part of the transceivers 22, 24, 26 shown in Figs. 1, 2 and 3, or the characteristics of any receiver amplifiers, or whether such receive amplifiers would benefit from any type of equalization. It is again noted that Shalom et al. desire to use digital equalization to correct for gain and phase distortions introduced by the **transmitter power amplifier, as well as other elements of the feedforward amplifier**. As such, it is clearly not admitted that one skilled in the art would have found it obvious "to implement digital equalization for both the transmit and receive amplifiers for the advantage of producing a highly linear response from the amplifiers", as stated by the Patent Office.

Still further, one may assume that any equalization that may be applied would be applied within the bandwidth of the "transmitter power amplifier, as well as other elements of the feedforward amplifier", and not within any bandwidth of the (not described) receiver amplifiers.

Turning now to Abdelmonem et al., what is disclosed is simply a high temperature superconductor (HTS) filter 58 used in a base station receiver wherein

> "in some embodiments of the present invention, an equalizer **may be included to compensate for variances in group delay introduced by the HTS filter 58**

> **within the passband**. Equalization may be desirable when the aforementioned HTS path is utilized in connection with certain wide bandwidth communication systems, such as W-CDMA."

Abdelmonem et al. describes a receiver front end and mentioning that an equalizer could be added to compensate for variances in group delay caused by the filter in the front end. The passage cited by the Patent Office teaches that the compensation is done at RF frequency with RF components. It does not give any hint that Base Band signal would be used to compensate for RF-filter caused group delay. Also, it does not give any hint that a RF filter response compensation would be done according to the used RF channel.

Thus, the Abdelgany et al. reference teaches a transceiver having transmit and receive filters, where in the Fig. 4 embodiment cited by the Patent Office:

> "..the RF filters in the CDMA transmit and receive paths of CDMA-900 and CSM-900 communication transceiver 180 have different passbands as compared to those in FIG. 3. First CDMA transmit RF filter-74, second CDMA transmit RF filter 78, and duplexer 82 have transmit passbands encompassing the CDMA-900 transmit band of about 824-849 MHZ. Duplexer 82 and CDMA receive RF image reject filter 92 have receive passbands approximately equivalent to the CDMA-900 receive band of about 869-894 MHZ" (col. 13, lines 5-14),

whereas Shalom et al. teach digital equalization to correct for gain and phase distortions introduced by the **transmitter power amplifier, as well as other elements of the feedforward amplifier**, and Abdelmonem et al. teach a HTS filter 58 used in a base station receiver, where an equalizer **may be included to compensate for variances in group delay introduced by the HTS filter 58 within the passband**.

The proposed combination of Shalom et al. and Abdelmonem et al. with Abdelgany et al. thus clearly does not suggest or disclose, as in claim 1, "**circuitry for compensating for a non-ideal operation of said RF filters of at least one RF channel of the transmit and receive bands of frequencies when the at least one RF channel is selected, in which the non-ideal operation of said RF filters is not compensated for another RF channel of the transmit and receive bands of frequencies when the another RF channel is selected**", or as in claim 8, a method to operate a mobile station where "**compensating for the non-ideal operation of said RF filters is provided for when at least one RF channel of the transmit and receive bands of**

frequencies is selected, wherein compensation for the non-ideal operation of said RF filters is not provided for at least a portion of a remainder of the transmit and receive band of frequencies when a frequency channel from the portion of the remainder of the transmit and receive band of frequencies is selected."

Applicant's invention allow selectively aiding in the rejection of the TX frequency band at the TX/RX band edge, thereby improving the operation of the mobile station (page 9, lines 9-11). Compensation can be achieved by predistorting the signal to be transmitted in order to maintain the desired accuracy of the modulation (page 9, lines 15-17). None of the cited references appear concerned with differences in response at the TX/RX band edge. An equalizer may affect a frequency response of a given channel but not a full bandwidth range of said transmit and receive frequencies, as found in the claims. An RF amplifier that merely changes the magnitude of an RF signal would not be an RF filter. The problem of the prior art concerns the reduced RF filter responses at the first and last channels with respect to the other channels. Applicant's invention compensates for RF operation in a transmit RF channel that is nearest to the band of receive RF frequencies and/ or compensates for RF filter operation in a receive RF channel that is nearest to the band of transmit RF frequencies (page 3, lines 7-10). None of the cited references appear to disclose or fairly suggest a solution to the problem identified and claimed by Applicant. When combining the teachings of the cited references, one of ordinary skill would have a transceiver where both the transmitter and receiver chains include an RF filter, a transmitter signal compensated for the amplifier unlinearities, and the receiver front end containing an equalizer compensating the distortion in the RF signal, not in the base band signal.

Furthermore, claims 1, 8, 15, and 25 recite that whereas an RF channel is compensated, at least one other RF is not adapted to be compensated. Claim 1 recites "A mobile station ...wherein **circuitry for compensating for a non-ideal operation of said RF filters of at least one RF channel of the transmit and receive bands of frequencies when the at least one RF channel is selected, in which the non-ideal operation of said RF filters is not compensated for another RF channel of the transmit and receive bands of frequencies when the another RF channel is selected.**" Claim 8 recites "A method for operating a mobile station ... **compensating for the non-ideal operation of said RF filters is provided for when at least one RF channel of the transmit and receive bands of frequencies is selected, wherein**

compensation for the non-ideal operation of said RF filters is not provided for at least a portion of a remainder of the transmit and receive band of frequencies when a frequency channel from the portion of the remainder of the transmit and receive band of frequencies is selected." Claim 15 recites "A circuit comprising means for coupling to a transceiver having a transmitter circuit ... **means for compensating for at least one of RF filter operation in a transmit RF channel that is nearest to the receive band of RF frequencies when the transmit RF channel is selected and for RF filter operation in a receive RF channel that is nearest to the transmit band of RF frequencies when the receive RF channel is selected, wherein RF filter operations of at least a portion of other channels of the transmit RF channels and receive RF channels are not compensated by the circuit when the other channels are selected.**" Claim 25 recites "A circuit comprising means for coupling to a transceiver having a transmitter circuit ... **compensating circuitry, wherein a non-ideal RF filter operation is compensated for the first transmit frequency channel by the compensating circuitry by predistorting a signal to be transmitted when the first transmit frequency channel is selected and the non-ideal RF filter operation is not compensated for the second transmit frequency channel by the compensating circuitry when the second transmit frequency channel is selected, wherein the non-ideal RF filter operation is compensated for the first receiver frequency channel by the compensating circuitry when the first receiver frequency channel is selected and the non-ideal RF filter operation is not compensated for the second receiver frequency channel by the compensating circuitry when the second receiver frequency channel is selected.**" Claim 29 recites "A circuit comprising ... **a circuit portion for compensating for at least one of RF filter operation of at least one RF channel of a transmit RF channel and a receive RF channel when the at least one RF channel is selected, wherein RF filter operations of other channels of the transmit RF channels and receive RF channels are not compensated by the circuit when the other channels are selected.**" None of the prior art of record appears to disclose or fairly suggest the above noted claimed subject matter of claims 1, 8, 15, 25, and 29. Thus, claims 1-20 and 24-33 are allowable over the prior art of record.

The Patent Office rejected claims 12 and 24 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Abdelgany, in view of Shalom and Abdelmonem, and further in view of Lindoff, U.S. Patent No. 6,373,888.

Claims 1, 8, 15, and 25 recite that RF filter operations are compensated for at least one RF channel but not all RF channels. None of the prior art of record, including Lindoff, appears to disclose or fairly suggest the above noted claimed subject matter of claims 1, 8, 15, and 25. Thus, claims 12 and 24 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Claim 26 recites "A mobile station as in claim 25, wherein only the second transmit frequency channel and only the first receiver frequency channel are compensated by the compensating circuitry." None of the prior art of record, including Lindoff, appears to disclose or fairly suggest this claimed subject matter. Thus, claim 26 is allowable over the prior art of record for this additional reason.

Claim 27 recites "A mobile station as in claim 26, wherein the second transmit frequency channel is nearer in frequency to the first receiver frequency than to any other transmit frequency channel of the transmit band of frequencies." None of the prior art of record, including Lindoff, appears to disclose or fairly suggest this claimed subject matter. Thus, claim 27 is allowable over the prior art of record for this additional reason.

Claim 28 recites "A mobile station as in claim 27, wherein all signals of the transmit frequency channels follow a single path through a transmit passband filter and all signals of the receive frequency channels follow a single path through a receive passband filter." None of the prior art of record, including Lindoff, appears to disclose or fairly suggest this claimed subject matter, in combination with the subject matter of the base and intervening claims. Thus, claim 29 is allowable over the prior art of record for this additional reason.

The Patent Office is respectfully requested to reconsider and remove the rejections of claims 1-20 and 24-33 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) based on the proposed combination of Abdelgany et al., Shalom et al. and Abdelmonem et al., whether or not in combination with Lindoff, and to allow claims 1-20 and 24-33. An early notification of the allowability of claims 1-20 and 24-33 is earnestly solicited.

S.N.: 10/024,107
Art Unit: 2643

Respectfully submitted:

Walter J. Malinowski June 12, 2006

Walter J. Malinowski Date

Reg. No.: 43,423

Customer No.: 29683

HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP
4 Research Drive
Shelton, CT 06484-6212

Telephone: (203)925-9400, extension 19
Facsimile: (203)944-0245
email: wmalinowski@hspatent.com

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. BOX 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

6-12-06 Elaine F. Muse

Date Name of Person Making Deposit